	1	TODD H. MASTER [SBN. 185881]				
	2	1900 O'Farrell Street, Suite 280 San Mateo, CA 94403				
	3					
	4	Telephone: (650) 365-7715 Facsimile: (650) 364-5297				
	5	Attorneys for Defendants				
	6	CITY OF MENLO PARK and DAVE BERTINI				
	7					
	8					
	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	11	SAN FRANCISCO				
715	12					
TELEPHONE (650) 365-7715	13	MICHAEL ZELENY, an individual	Case No. 17-cv-07357-RS			
ONE (6	14	· ·	Case 110. 17-07-07337-105			
ELEPHONE (6	15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
, E	16	VS.	ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT			
	17	EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., an individual, in his official capacity, et al.	TO COM EARLY			
	18	Defendants.				
	19					
	20					
	21	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(b), Plaintiff Michael Zeleny and Defendants CITY OF				
	22	MENLO PARK and DAVE BERTINI (hereinafter, "Menlo Park Defendants") (collectively,				
	23	"Moving Parties"), hereby request an order from the Court, which would grant the Menlo Park				
	24	Defendants additional time to file their response to the Complaint. Specifically, the Moving Partie				
	25	request that the Menlo Park Defendants be given until March 23, 2018 to file their response to the				
	26	Complaint.				
		STIPLIL ATION AND IPROPOSEDLORDER TO EXTEN	ID TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT:			

1

Case No. 17-cv-07357-RS

While this request will admittedly impact the current Case Schedule (See Senior Magistrate				
pero's December 29, 2017 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR				
Deadlines [Document 5], which called for the filing of an ADR Certification and either a				
Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference by March 9, 2018),				
counsel for the Menlo Park Defendants was only just assigned the case. Further, and more				
importantly, Moving Parties make this request because they are currently working in good faith				
and exploring whether they can resolve the issues being alleged herein before the Menlo Park				
Defendants have to spend additional resources to file a response to the Complaint. Should these				
informal efforts not be successful, the Menlo Park Defendants will be prepared to file their				
response to the Complaint on or before March 23, 2018, which is the current deadline to file a Rule				
26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures, etc. See December 29, 2017 Order Setting Initial Case				
Management Conference and ADR Deadlines [Document 5]. The Moving Parties respectfully				
suggest that the March 9, 2018 deadlines, as referenced herein, be moved to March 23, 2018.				
This request will not impact the Court's time line for the filing of an Initial Case				
Management Conference Statement (April 5, 2018) or the Initial Case Management Conference				
itself (April 12, 2018). See Clerk's Notice Re: Reassigned Case [Document 17].				
Date: February 15, 2018 HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY LLP				
By: <u>/s/ Todd H. Master</u> Todd H. Master  Attorneys for Defendants  CITY OF MENLO PARK and  DAVE BERTINI				
Date: February 15, 2018 AFFELD GRIVAKES LLP				
By: <u>/s/ David W. Affeld</u> David W. Affeld Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL ZELENY				

## 1900 O'FARRELL STREET, SUITE 280 SAN MATEO, CA 94403 TELEPHONE (650) 365-7715

	~	~ ~
ORDER	OF THE	COURT

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Defendants CITY OF MENLO PARK and DAVE BERTINI, and each of them, have until March 23, 2018 to respond to the Complaint.
- 2. Defendants CITY OF MENLO PARK and DAVE BERTINI shall have until March 23, 2018 to comply with the ADR deadlines set forth in Document 5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	 
	The Honorable Richard Seeborg